



# ECOS

ENVIRONMENTAL  
♦ COUNCIL ♦  
OF SACRAMENTO

Post Office Box 1526 | Sacramento, CA 95812-1526

Sacramento Local Area Formation Commission (LAFCo)

1112 I St #100, Sacramento, CA 95814

Delivered via email to: [commissionclerk@saclafco.org](mailto:commissionclerk@saclafco.org), [PatHume@saccounty.gov](mailto:PatHume@saccounty.gov),  
[richdesmond@saccounty.gov](mailto:richdesmond@saccounty.gov), [SupervisorFrost@saccounty.gov](mailto:SupervisorFrost@saccounty.gov), [h2ogay@pacbell.net](mailto:h2ogay@pacbell.net),  
[chris@littleRES.com](mailto:chris@littleRES.com), [District1@cityofsacramento.org](mailto:District1@cityofsacramento.org), [lindsey.r.liebig@gmail.com](mailto:lindsey.r.liebig@gmail.com),  
[mpratton@cityofgalt.org](mailto:mpratton@cityofgalt.org), [BoardClerk@saccounty.gov](mailto:BoardClerk@saccounty.gov), [henriquezj@saclafco.org](mailto:henriquezj@saclafco.org)

**RE: Continued Public Hearing To Consider And Certify The Environmental Impact Report And Approve The Respective Amendments To The Spheres Of Influence For The City Of Sacramento And Sacramento Area Sewer District (LAFCo Project #2023-03)**

To LAFCo Commissioners,

ECOS urges you to vote NO on the SOI expansion into open space and farmland for the Airport South Industrial Project.

The LAFCO staff report continues to falsely claim that “the City of Sacramento” wants this project. We must remind you that the City Council has never held a public hearing or a public vote on this issue.

We realize that this lack of direction from the City Council has placed an unfair burden on the LAFCo staff and commission. If you do not approve it, the landowner can ask the City to apply for an SOI expansion. This path assures you that the Council wants the SOI expansion.

We disagree with staff that Commission approval means you are only approving the SOI and not also approving both the annexation and project with your vote. Your vote to approve the SOI, and the EIR with your own findings of fact and overriding concerns despite significant and unavoidable impacts, will clearly indicate your support for the ultimate ASI project. You will be supporting the placement of six million square feet of warehouses next to an elementary school and an existing neighborhood.

We are very concerned about the impact of this expansion on the NBHCP. In the Plan, this land is not permitted for development; it is outside the USB; and it is adjacent to permanent habitat. The NBC’s letter of April 10, 2025 to the SacCounty Supervisors spells out their concerns as well.

And now there is a Development Agreement. LAFCo must analyze this in the EIR, per your own MOU. The current EIR did not disclose or analyze the Development Agreement.

You have been given a project that is not worthy of the statement of overriding considerations. Children’s health, neighborhood degradation, and loss of farmland and habitat are not worth sacrificing for warehouses that can and should go elsewhere.

We urge you not to certify the EIR. By adopting a statement of overriding considerations, as required to certify, you affirm that the project is more important than the unavoidable impacts on the public.

At the last hearing over 700 pages of comments were submitted; 60 people spoke against the project during the four-hour hearing.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Heather Fargo". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized 'H' and 'F'.

Heather Fargo, President  
ECOS Board of Directors